# (GI-1, GI-2, GI-3, GI-4, VI-1 & SI-1)

**DATE: 07.10.2019 MAXIMUM MARKS: 100** TIMING: 31/4 Hours

# **PAPER: AUDITING**

# **DIVISION - A (MULTIPLE CHOICE QUESTIONS)**

# Answer (1-20) carry 1 Mark each Answer (21-25) carry 2 Marks each

- (1) Ans. d
- (2) Ans. d
- (3) Ans. c
- (4) Ans. c
- (5) Ans. b
- Ans. d
- (6)
- Ans. d (7)
- (8) Ans. c
- (9) Ans. c
- (10)Ans. a
- (11)Ans. a
- (12)Ans. b
- (13)Ans. c
- (14)Ans. b
- (15)Ans. c
- (16)Ans. d
- (17)Ans. d
- (18)Ans. a
- (19)Ans. d
- Ans. b (20)
- (21)Ans. c
- (22)Ans. d
- (23)Ans. a
- (24)Ans. c
- (25)Ans. b

# **Division B-Descriptive Questions** Question No. 1 is compulsory Attempt any four questions from the Rest.

## Answer 1:

# Examine with reasons (in short) whether the following statements are correct or incorrect: (Attempt any 7 out of 8)

- Incorrect: "Sweat Equity Shares" means equity shares issued by the company to (i) employees or directors at a discount or for consideration other than cash for providing know-how or making available right in the nature of intellectual property rights or value additions, by whatever name called.
- **Incorrect:** If Company X's balance sheet shows building with carrying amount of Rs. 100 (ii) lakh, the auditor shall assume that the management has claimed/ asserted that:
  - The building recognized in the balance sheet exists as at the period- end (existence assertion);
  - Company X owns and controls such building (Rights and obligations assertion):
  - The building has been valued accurately in accordance with the measurement principles (Valuation assertion);

All buildings owned and controlled by Company X are included within the carrying amount of Rs. 100 lakh (Completeness assertion).

{3 M}

{1 M}

- (iii) **Incorrect:** The securities premium account may be applied by the Company:
  - (a) towards the issue of unissued shares of the company to the members of the company as fully paid bonus shares;
  - (b) in writing off the preliminary expenses of the Company;
  - (c) in writing off the expenses of, or the commission paid or discount allowed on, any issue of shares or debentures of the company;
  - (d) in providing for the premium payable on the redemption of any redeemable preference shares or of any debentures of the company; or
  - (e) for the purchase of its own shares or other securities under section 68.
- (iv) **Incorrect:** The auditor shall modify the opinion in the auditor's report when:
  - (a) The auditor concludes that, based on the audit evidence obtained, the financial statements as a whole are not free from material misstatement; or
  - (b) The auditor is unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence to conclude that the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement.
- (v) **Correct:** The auditor shall express an adverse opinion when the auditor, having obtained sufficient appropriate audit evidence, concludes that misstatements, individually or in the aggregate, are both material and pervasive to the financial statements.
- (vi) **Incorrect:** The auditor should not go by the assumption that the system generated information is correct and can be relied upon without evidence that demonstrates that the system driven information is based on validation of the required parameters for the time being in force and applicable.
- (vii) **Incorrect:** Equitable mortgage, on the other hand, is effected by a mere delivery of title deeds or other documents of title with intent to create security thereof.
- (viii) **Correct:** According to 'propriety audit', the auditors try to bring out cases of improper, avoidable, or infructuous expenditure even though the expenditure has been incurred in conformity with the existing rules and regulations.

# Answer 2:

(a) Fact of the Case: In the instant case, Mr. A is holding appointment in 4 companies, whereas Mr. B is having appointment in 6 Companies and Mr. C is having appointment in 10 Companies. In aggregate all three partners are having 20 audits. Provisions and Explanations: Section 141(3)(g) of the Companies Act, 2013 states that the following persons shall not be eligible for appointment as an auditor of a company i.e. a person who is in full time employment elsewhere; or a person, or a partner of a firm holding appointment as its auditor, if such person, or partner is at the date of such appointment, or reappointment holding appointment as auditor of more than twenty companies other than one person companies, dormant companies, small companies and private companies having paid-up share capital less than Rs. 100 crore.

As per section 141(3)(g), this limit of 20 company audits is per person. In the case of an audit firm having 3 partners, the overall ceiling will be  $3 \times 20 = 60$  company audits. Sometimes, a chartered accountant is a partner in a number of auditing firms. In such a case, all the firms in which he is partner or proprietor will be together entitled to 20 company audits on his account.

# **Conclusion:**

(i) Therefore, ABC & Co. can hold appointment as an auditor of 40 more companies:

Total Number of Audits available to the Firm 20 x 3 60 Number of Audits already taken by all the partners In 4+6+10 20 their individual capacity

Remaining number of Audits available to the Firm 40

- (ii) With reference to above provisions an auditor can hold more appointment as auditor = ceiling limit as per section 141(3)(g)- already holding appointments as an auditor. Hence
  - (1) Mr. A can hold: 20 4 = 16 more audits.
  - (2) Mr. B can hold 20-6 = 14 more audits and
  - (3) Mr. C can hold 20-10 = 10 more audits.
- (iii) In view of above discussed provisions, ABC & Co. can hold appointment as an auditor in all the 60 private companies having paid-up share capital less than Rs. 100 crore, 2 small companies and 1 dormant company as these are excluded from the ceiling limit of company audits given under section 141(3)(g) of the Companies Act, 2013.

(iv) As per fact of the case, ABC & Co. is already having 20 company audits and they can also accept 40 more company audits. In addition they can also conduct the audit of one person companies, small companies, dormant companies and private companies having paid up share capital less than Rs. 100 crores. In the given case, out of the 60 private companies, ABC & Co. is offered 45 companies having paid-up share capital of Rs. 110 crore each. Therefore, ABC & Co. can also accept the appointment as an auditor for 2 small companies, 1 dormant company, 15 private companies having paid-up share capital less than Rs. 100 crore and 40 private companies having paid-up share capital of Rs. 110 crore each in addition to above 20 company audits already holding.

## **Answer:**

- **(b)** Manner and procedure of selection and appointment of auditors- Rule 3 of CAAR, 2014 prescribes the following manner and procedure of selection and appointment of auditors-
  - (1) In case of a company that is required to constitute an Audit Committee under section 177, the committee, and, in cases where such a committee is not required to be constituted, the Board, shall take into consideration the qualifications and experience of the individual or the firm proposed to be considered for appointment as auditor and whether such qualifications and experience are commensurate with the size and requirements of the company.

It may be noted that while considering the appointment, the Audit Committee or the Board, as the case may be, shall have regard to any order or pending proceeding relating to professional matters of conduct against the proposed auditor before the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India or any competent authority or any Court.

- (2) The Audit Committee or the Board, as the case may be, may call for such other information from the proposed auditor as it may deem fit.
- (3) Subject to the provisions of sub-rule (1), where a company is required to constitute the Audit Committee, the committee shall recommend the name of an individual or a firm as auditor to the Board for consideration and in other cases, the Board shall consider and recommend an individual or a firm as auditor to the members in the annual general meeting for appointment.
- (4) If the Board agrees with the recommendation of the Audit Committee, it shall further recommend the appointment of an individual or a firm as auditor to the members in the annual general meeting.
- (5) If the Board disagrees with the recommendation of the Audit Committee, it shall refer back the recommendation to the committee for reconsideration citing reasons for such disagreement.

{Any 6 Points Each 1/2

Mark}

{1 M}

- If the Audit Committee, after considering the reasons given by the Board, (6) decides not to reconsider its original recommendation, the Board shall record reasons for its disagreement with the committee and send its own recommendation for consideration of the members in the annual general meeting; and if the Board agrees with the recommendations of the Audit Committee, it shall place the matter for consideration by members in the annual general meeting.
- (7) The auditor appointed in the annual general meeting shall hold office from the conclusion of that meeting till the conclusion of the sixth annual general meeting, with the meeting wherein such appointment has been made being counted as the first meeting.

# **Answer:**

(c) Provisions and Explanation: For non-compliance of sub-section (2) of section 140 of the Companies Act, 2013, the auditor shall be punishable with fine, which shall not be less than fifty thousand rupees or the remuneration of the auditor, whichever is less but which may extend to five lakh rupees, under section 140(3) of the said Act. Conclusion: Thus, the fine under section 140(3) of the Companies Act, 2013 shall | not be less than Rs. 30,000 but which may extend to Rs. 5,00,000.

# Answer 3:

- **Advertisement Expenses:** (a) (1)
  - Verify the bills/invoices from advertising agency to ensure that rates charged for different types of advertisement are as per the contract.
  - See that the advertisement relates to client's business. (ii)
  - Inspect the receipt issued by the agency. (iii)
  - (iv) Ascertain the nature of expenditure - revenue or capital expenditure - {1 M} and see that it has been recorded properly.

Ascertain the period for which payment is made and see that prepaid (v) amount, if any, is carried to the balance sheet. {1 M}

{Any 6

**Points** 

Each

1/2

Mark}

See that all outstanding advertisement bills have been provided for. (vi)

(2) Sale of Scrap:

- Review the internal control as regards generation, storage and (i) disposal of scrap.
- Check whether the organization is maintaining reasonable record for (ii) generation of scrap.
- (iii) Analyze the raw material used, production and generation pattern of scrap and compare the same with figures of earlier year.

Check the rates at which scrap has been sold and compare the rate (iv) with previous year.

Vouch sales, with invoices raised, advertisement for tender, rate (v) contract with scrap dealers.

(vi) Ensure that there exists a proper control procedure to identify scrap and good units and they are not mixed up and sold as scrap.

Make an overall assessment of the value of realization from scrap as to (vii) its reasonableness.

**Answer:** 

Objective of the Auditor- To Express Clearly an Appropriately modified Opinion As per (b) Standard on Auditing (SA) 705 "Modifications To The Opinion In The Independent Auditor's Report", the objective of the auditor is to express clearly an appropriately modified opinion on the financial statements that is necessary when:

- The auditor concludes, based on the audit evidence obtained, that the (a) financial statements as a whole are not free from material misstatement; or
- The auditor is unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence to \{2 M} (b) conclude that the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement.

{2 M}

#### **Answer:**

- When corresponding figures are presented, the auditor's opinion shall not refer to the (c) corresponding figures except in the following circumstances.
  - If the auditor's report on the prior period, as previously issued, included a qualified opinion, a disclaimer of opinion, or an adverse opinion and the matter which gave rise to the modification is unresolved, the auditor shall modify the auditor's opinion on the current period's financial statements. In the Basis for

Modification paragraph in the auditor's report, the auditor shall either:

- Refer to both the current period's figures and the corresponding figures in the description of the matter giving rise to the modification when the effects or possible effects of the matter on the current period's figures are material; or
- (b) In other cases, explain that the audit opinion has been modified because of the effects or possible effects of the unresolved matter on the comparability of the current period's figures and the corresponding figures.
- 2. If the auditor obtains audit evidence that a material misstatement exists in the prior period financial statements on which an unmodified opinion has been previously issued, the auditor shall verify whether the misstatement has been if that is not the case, the auditor shall express a qualified opinion or an adverse opinion in the auditor's report on the current period financial statements, modified.
  - Prior Period Financial Statements Not Audited- If the prior period financial statements were not audited, the auditor shall state in an Other Matter paragraph in the auditor's report that the corresponding figures are unaudited. Such a statement does not, however, relieve the auditor of the \{1 M} requirement to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence that the opening balances do not contain misstatements that materially affect the current

# Answer 4:

3.

As per the guidelines, Agricultural Advances are of two types, (a)

period's financial statements.

- Agricultural Advances for "long duration" crops and
- Agricultural Advances for "short duration" crops (2)

The "long duration" crops would be crops with crop season longer than one year and crops, which are not "long duration" crops would be treated as "short duration" crops. The crop season for each crop, which means the period up to harvesting of the cropsraised, would be as determined by the State Level Bankers' Committee in each

The following NPA norms would apply to agricultural advances (including Crop Term Loans):

- A loan granted for short duration crops will be treated as NPA, if the installment of  $\{2 \text{ M}\}$ principal or interest thereon remains overdue for two crop seasons and,
- A loan granted for long duration crops will be treated as NPA, if the instilment of principal or interest thereon remains overdue for one crop season.

{2 M}

#### **Answer:**

- Not prudent to follow stages of asset classification. It should be straight-away (b) classified as doubtful or loss asset as appropriate.
  - Erosion in the value of security can be reckoned as significant when the (i) realisable value of the security is less than 50 per cent of the value assessed by the bank or accepted by RBI at the time of last inspection, as the case may be. Such NPAs may be straight-away classified under doubtful category and provisioning should be made as applicable to doubtful assets.
  - (ii) If the realisable value of the security, as assessed by the bank/ approved valuers/ RBI is less than 10 per cent of the outstanding in the borrowal accounts, the existence of security should be ignored and the asset should be  $\{1^{1/2} M\}$ straight-away classified as loss asset. It may be either written off or fully provided for by the bank.

-{1<sup>1/2</sup> M}

# **Answer:**

**Propriety audit** - According to 'propriety audit', the auditors try to bring out cases (c) of improper, avoidable, or infructuous expenditure even though the expenditure has been incurred in conformity with the existing rules and regulations. With the passage of time, it was felt that regularity audit alone was not sufficient to protect properly the public interest in the spending of money by the executive authorities. A transaction may satisfy all the requirements of regularity audit insofar as the various  $\{1 \text{ M}\}$ formalities regarding rules and regulations are concerned, but may still be highly wasteful. A building may be constructed for installing a telephone exchange but may not be used for the same purpose resulting in infructuous expenditure or a school building may be constructed but used after five years of its completion is a case of avoidable expenditure.

Audit should, therefore, try to secure a reasonably high standard of public financial morality by looking into the wisdom, faithfulness and economy of transactions. These considerations have led to the evolution of audit against propriety which is now being combined by the audit authorities with their routine function of regularity audit. It is hard to frame any precise rules for regulating the course of audit against propriety. Such an objective of audit depends for its acceptance on its appeal to the common sense and straight logic of the auditors and of those whose financial transactions are subjected to propriety audit. However, some general principles have been laid down in the Audit Code, which have for long been recognised as standards of financial propriety. Audit against propriety seeks to ensure that expenditure conforms to these principles which have been stated as follows:

- (a) The expenditure should not be prima facie more than the occasion demands. Every public officer is expected to exercise the same vigilance in respect of expenditure incurred from public moneys as a person of ordinary prudence would exercise in respect of expenditure of his own money.
- No authority should exercise its powers of sanctioning expenditure to pass an (b) order which will be directly or indirectly to its own advantage.
- Public moneys should not be utilised for the benefit of a particular person or (c) section of the community unless:

(i) The amount of expenditure involved is insignificant; or

- (ii) A claim for the amount could be enforced in a Court of law; or
- The expenditure is in pursuance of a recognised policy or custom; and (iii)
- The amount of allowances, such as travelling allowances, granted to (iv) meet expenditure of a particular type should be so regulated that the allowances are not, on the whole, sources of profit to the recipients.

{1 M}

-{1 M}

#### **Answer:**

Role of C&AG is prescribed under sub section (5), (6) and (7) of section 143 of the (d) Companies Act, 2013.

Section 143(5) of the Act states that, in the case of a Government company or any other company owned or controlled, directly or indirectly, by the Central Government, or by any State Government or Governments, or partly by the Central Government and partly by one or more State Governments, the comptroller and Auditor-General of India shall appoint the auditor under sub-section (5) or sub- $\{1 M\}$ section (7) of section 139 i.e. appointment of First Auditor or Subsequent Auditor and direct such auditor the manner in which the accounts of the Government company are required to be audited and thereupon the auditor so appointed shall submit a copy of the audit report to the Comptroller and Auditor-General of India which, among other things, include the directions, if any, issued by the Comptroller and Auditor-General of India, the action taken thereon and its impact on the accounts and financial statement of the company.

The Comptroller and Auditor-General of India shall within sixty days from the date of receipt of the audit report have a right to,

- conduct a supplementary audit under section 143(6)(a), of the financial statement of the company by such person or persons as he may authorize in  $\{1^{1/2} M\}$ this behalf; and for the purposes of such audit, require information or additional information to be furnished to any person or persons, so authorised, on such matters, by such person or persons, and in such form, as the Comptroller and Auditor-General of India may direct; and
- comment upon or supplement such audit report under section 143(6)(b). Any (b) comments given by the Comptroller and Auditor-General of India upon, or supplement to, the audit report shall be sent by the company to every person entitled to copies of audited financial statements under sub-section of section 136 i.e. every member of the company, to every trustee for the debenture-holder of any debentures issued by the company, and to all persons other than such member or trustee, being the person so entitled and also be placed before the annual general meeting of the company at the same time and in the same manner as the audit report.

Test Audit under section 143(6)(b): Further, without prejudice to the provisions relating to audit and auditor, the Comptroller and Auditor- General of India may, in case of any company covered under sub-section (5) or subsection (7) of section 139, if he considers necessary, by an order, cause test audit to be conducted of the accounts of such company and the provisions of section 19A of the Comptroller and Auditor- General's (Duties, Powers and Conditions of Service) Act, 1971, shall apply to the report of such test audit.

## Answer 5:

Self-interest threats, which occur when an auditing firm, its partner or (a) 1. associate could benefit from a financial interest in an audit client. Examples include (i) direct financial interest or materially significant indirect financial interest in a client, (ii) loan or guarantee to or from the concerned client, (iii) undue dependence on a client's fees and, hence, concerns about losing the

engagement, (iv) close business relationship with an audit client, (v) potential employment with the client, and (vi) contingent fees for the audit engagement.

2. Self-review threats, which occur when during a review of any judgement or conclusion reached in a previous audit or non-audit engagement (Non audit services include any professional services provided to an entity by an auditor, other than audit or review of the financial statements. These include

{1 M}

{1 M}

management services, internal audit, investment advisory service, design and implementation of information technology systems etc.), or when a member of the audit team was previously a director or senior employee of the client. Instances where such threats come into play are (i) when an auditor having recently been a director or senior officer of the company, and (ii) when auditors perform services that are themselves subject matters of audit.

3. Advocacy threats, which occur when the auditor promotes, or is perceived to promote, a client's opinion to a point where people may believe that objectivity is getting compromised, e.g. when an auditor deals with shares or \ \{1 M\} securities of the audited company, or becomes the client's advocate in litigation and third party disputes.

4. Familiarity threats are self-evident, and occur when auditors form relationships with the client where they end up being too sympathetic to the client's interests. This can occur in many ways: (i) close relative of the audit team working in a senior position in the client company, (ii) former partner of the audit firm being a director or senior employee of the client, (iii) long association between specific auditors and their specific client counterparts, and (iv) acceptance of significant gifts or hospitality from the client company, its directors or employees.

{1/2 M}

5. Intimidation threats, which occur when auditors are deterred from acting objectively with an adequate degree of professional skepticism. Basically, these could happen because of threat of replacement over disagreements (1/2 M) with the application of accounting principles, or pressure to disproportionately reduce work in response to reduced audit fees.

# **Answer:**

- (b) The advantages of an audit programme are:
  - It provides the assistant carrying out the audit with total and clear set of instructions of the work generally to be done.
  - (b) It is essential, particularly for major audits, to provide a total perspective of the work to be performed.
  - (c) Selection of assistants for the jobs on the basis of capability becomes easier when the work is rationally planned, defined and segregated.
  - (d) Without a written and pre-determined programme, work is necessarily to be carried out on the basis of some 'mental' plan. In such a situation there is {Any 6 always a danger of ignoring or overlooking certain books and records. Under a properly framed programme, the danger is significantly less and the audit can proceed systematically.

Each

- The assistants, by putting their signature on programme, accept the (e) responsibility for the work carried out by them individually and, if necessary, the work done may be traced back to the assistant.
- The principal can control the progress of the various audits in hand by (f) examination of audit programmes initiated by the assistants deputed to the jobs for completed work.
- It serves as a guide for audits to be carried out in the succeeding year. (g)
- (h) A properly drawn up audit programme serves as evidence in the event of any charge of negligence being brought against the auditor. It may be of considerable value in establishing that he exercised reasonable skill and care that was expected of professional auditor.

Answer:

(c) The reliability of data is influenced by its source and nature and is dependent on the circumstances under which it is obtained. Accordingly, the following are relevant

**Points** 

1/2 Mark} when determining whether data is reliable for purposes of designing substantive analytical procedures:

- (i) Source of the information available. For example, information may be more reliable when it is obtained from independent sources outside the entity; {1/2 M
- (ii) Comparability of the information available. For example, broad industry data may need to be supplemented to be comparable to that of an entity that produces and sells specialised products;
- (iii) Nature and relevance of the information available. For example, whether budgets have been established as results to be expected rather than as goals to be achieved; and
- (iv) Controls over the preparation of the information that are designed to ensure its completeness, accuracy and validity. For example, controls over the preparation, review and maintenance of budgets.

The auditor may consider testing the operating effectiveness of controls, if any, over the entity's preparation of information used by the auditor in performing substantive analytical procedures in response to assessed risks. When such controls are effective, the auditor generally has greater confidence in the reliability of the information and, therefore, in the results of analytical procedures. The operating effectiveness of controls over non-financial information may often be tested in conjunction with other tests of controls. For example, in establishing controls over the processing of sales invoices, an entity may include controls over the recording of unit sales. In these circumstances, the auditor may test the operating effectiveness of controls over the recording of unit sales in conjunction with tests of the operating effectiveness of controls over the processing of sales invoices. Alternatively, the auditor may consider whether the information was subjected to audit testing. SA 500 establishes requirements and provides guidance in determining the audit procedures to be performed on the information to be used for substantive analytical procedures.

## Answer:

- (d) (i) The advantages of statistical sampling may be summarized as follows -
  - (1) The amount of testing (sample size) does not increase in proportion to the increase in the size of the area (universe) tested.
  - (2) The sample selection is more objective and thereby more defensible.
  - (3) The method provides a means of estimating the minimum sample size associated with a specified risk and precision.
  - (4) It provides a means for deriving a "calculated risk" and corresponding precision (sampling error) i.e. the probable difference in result due to the use of a sample in lieu of examining all the records in the group (universe), using the same audit procedures.
  - (5) It may provide a better description of a large mass of data than a complete examination of all the data, since non-sampling errors such as processing and clerical mistakes are not as large.

    Under some audit circumstances, statistical sampling methods may not be appropriate. The auditor should not attempt to use statistical sampling when another approach is either necessary or will provide satisfactory information in less time or with less effort, for instance when exact accuracy is required or in case of legal requirements etc.

    The decision whether to use a statistical or non-statistical sampling approach is a matter for the auditor's judgment; however, sample size is not a valid criterion to distinguish between statistical and non-statistical approaches.

{Any 4
Points
Each
1/2
Mark}

{1 M}

(ii) **Stratified Sampling:** This method involves dividing the whole population to be tested in a few separate groups called strata and taking a sample from each of them. Each stratum is treated as if it was a separate population and if {1 M} proportionate of items are selected from each of these stratum. The number of groups into which the whole population has to be divided is determined on the basis of auditor judgment.

The reasoning behind the stratified sampling is that for a highly diversified population, weights should be allocated to reflect these differences. This is achieved by selecting different proportions from each strata. It can be seen {1 M} that the stratified sampling is simply an extension of simple random sampling. Therefore, we can say that random selection method is applied through random number generators, for example, random number tables).

### Answer 6:

- When auditing in an automated environment, the following testing methods are ] (a)
  - (a) Inquiry
  - (b) Observation
  - (c) Inspection
  - (d) Reperformance

A combination of inquiry and inspection is generally the most effective and efficient testing method. However, determining the most effective and efficient testing method is a matter of professional judgement and depends on the several factors | {2 M} including risk assessment, control environment, desired level of evidence required, history of errors/misstatements, complexity of business, assertions being addressed.

{1 M}

#### **Answer:**

- If, as a result of a misstatement resulting from fraud or suspected fraud, the auditor (b) encounters exceptional circumstances that bring into question the auditor's ability to continue performing the audit, the auditor shall:
  - Determine the professional and legal responsibilities applicable in the (a) circumstances, including whether there is a requirement for the auditor to {1 M} report to the person or persons who made the audit appointment or, in some cases, to regulatory authorities: (b)

Consider whether it is appropriate to withdraw from the engagement, where {1 M}

withdrawal is possible under applicable law or regulation; and

- If the auditor withdraws: (c)
  - (i) Discuss with the appropriate level of management and those charged with governance the auditor's withdrawal from the engagement and the reasons for the withdrawal; and

Determine whether there is a professional or legal requirement to {2 M} (ii) report to the person or persons who made the audit appointment or, in some cases, to regulatory authorities, the auditor's withdrawal from the engagement and the reasons for the withdrawal.

#### Answer:

Documentation of Significant Matters and Related Significant Professional Judgments (c) Judging the significance of a matter requires an objective analysis of the facts and circumstances.

Examples of significant matters include:

{2 M}

- Matters that give rise to significant risks.
- Results of audit procedures indicating (a) that the financial statements could be materially misstated, or (b) a need to revise the auditor's previous

assessment of the risks of material misstatement and the auditor's responses to those risks.

- Circumstances that cause the auditor significant difficulty in applying necessary audit procedures.
- Findings that could result in a modification to the audit opinion or the inclusion of an Emphasis of Matter Paragraph in the auditor's report.

An important factor in determining the form, content and extent of audit documentation of significant matters is the extent of professional judgment exercised in performing the work and evaluating the results.

Documentation of the professional judgments made, where significant, serves to explain the auditor's conclusions and to reinforce the quality of the judgment. Such matters are of particular interest to those responsible for reviewing documentation, including those carrying out subsequent audits, when reviewing matters of continuing significance (for example, when performing a retrospective review of accounting estimates).

Some examples of circumstances in which it is appropriate to prepare audit documentation relating to the use of professional judgment include, where the matters and judgments are significant:

{2 M}

- The rationale for the auditor's conclusion when a requirement provides that the auditor 'shall consider' certain information or factors, and that consideration is significant in the context of the particular engagement.
- The basis for the auditor's conclusion on the reasonableness of areas of subjective judgments (for example, the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates).
- The basis for the auditor's conclusions about the authenticity of a document when further investigation (such as making appropriate use of an expert or of confirmation procedures) is undertaken in response to conditions identified during the audit that caused the auditor to believe that the document may not be authentic.

#### Answer:

(d) Going Concern Basis of Accounting

> Under the going concern basis of accounting, the financial statements are prepared on the assumption that the entity is a going concern and will continue its operations for the foreseeable future. When the use of the going concern basis of accounting is appropriate, assets and liabilities are recorded on the basis that the entity will be able to realize its assets and discharge its liabilities in the normal course of business. Objectives of the auditor regarding going concern are:

{1 M}

- To obtain written representations from management and, where appropriate, those charged with governance that they believe that they have fulfilled their responsibility for the preparation of the financial statements and for the completeness of the information provided to the auditor;
- (ii) To support other audit evidence relevant to the financial statements or specific assertions in the financial statements by means of written representations, if  $\{1 \text{ M}\}$ determined necessary by the auditor or required by other SAs; and
- appropriately to written representations provided (iii) management and, where appropriate, those charged with governance, or if {1 M} management or, where appropriate, those charged with governance do not provide the written representations requested by the auditor.

\*\*\*

**11** | Page