(GI-2, GI-6, GI-7, VI-1, VDI-1, DRIVE & FMT)

TIMING: 31/4 Hours DATE: 21.09.2023 **MAXIMUM MARKS: 100**

CORPORATE AND OTHER LAW

Answer to questions are to be given only in English except in the case of candidates who have opted for Hindi Medium. If a candidate who has not opted for Hindi Medium. His/her answer in Hindi will not be valued.

Question No. 1 & 2 is compulsory.

Candidates are also required to answer any three questions from the remaining Four Questions.

Answer 1:

- Ans. b 1.
- 2. Ans. c
- 3. Ans. a
- 4. Ans. c
- {2 M Each} 5. Ans. c
- 6. Ans. c
- 7. Ans. c
- 8. Ans. b
- 9. Ans. a
- Ans. D 10.
- 11. Ans. b
- 12. Ans. c
- 13. Ans. b
- 14. Ans. a
- 15. Ans. b {1 M Each}
- 16. Ans. a
- 17. Ans. d
- 18. Ans. c
- 19. Ans. b
- 20. Ans. c 21. Ans. a
- 22. Ans. b

Answer 2:

- According to section 103 of the Companies Act, 2013, unless the articles of the company) (a) provide for a larger number, the quorum for the meeting of a Public Limited Company shall be 5 members personally present, if number of members is not more than 1000.
 - P1, P2 and P3 will be counted as three members. (1) (i)

If a company is a member of another company, it may authorize a person (2) by resolution to act as its representative at a meeting of the latter company, then such a person shall be deemed to be a member present in person and counted for the purpose of quorum. Hence, P4 and P5 representing ABC Ltd. and DEF Ltd. respectively will be counted as two members.

Only members present in person and not by proxy are to be counted. (3) Hence, proxies whether they are members or not will have to be excluded for the purposes of quorum. Thus, P6 and P7 shall not be counted in quorum.

In the light of the provision of the Act and the facts of the question, it can be concluded that the quorum for Annual General Meeting of KMN Ltd. is 5 members personally present. Total 5 members (P1, P2, P3, P4 and P5) were present. Hence, the requirement of quorum is fulfilled.

{2 M}

- (ii) The section further states that, if the required quorum is not present within half an hour, the meeting shall stand adjourned for the next week at the same time and place or such other time and place as decided by the Board of Directors.

 Since, P4 is an essential part for meeting the quorum requirement, and he reaches after 11:30 AM (i.e. half an hour after the starting of the meeting), the meeting will be adjourned as provided above.
- (iii) In case of lack of quorum, the meeting will be adjourned as provided in section 103.

 In case of the adjourned meeting or change of day, time or place of meeting, the company shall give not less than 3 days' notice to the members either individually or by publishing an advertisement in the newspaper.
- (iv) Where quorum is not present in the adjourned meeting also within half an hour, then the members present shall form the quorum. {1/2 M}

(b) According to section 195 of the Contract Act, 1872, in selecting an agent (substituted) for his principal, an agent is bound to exercise the same amount of discretion as a man of ordinary prudence would exercise in his own case; and, if he does this, he is not responsible to the principal for the acts or negligence of the agent so selected. Thus, while selecting a "substituted agent" the agent is bound to exercise same amount of diligence as a man of ordinary prudence and if he does so he will not be responsible for acts or negligence of the substituted agent. Hence, if Aziz has exercised same amount of diligence as a man of ordinary prudence would, he shall not be responsible to Azar for the proceeds of the auction.

Answer:

Rule of Ejusdem Generis: The term ejusdem generic means of the same kind or (c) species. Simply stated the rule means where any Act enumerates different subjects, general words following specific words are to be construed with reference to the words that precede them. The general words are to be taken as applying to things of the same kind as the specific words previously mentioned unless there is something to show that a wider sense was intended. Thus the rule of 'ejusdem generis' means \{2 M} that where specific words are used and after these specific words, some general words are used, the general words would take their colour from the specific words used earlier (eg) where an Act permitted keeping of dogs, cats, cows, buffaloes and other animals, the expression 'other animals' would not include wide animals like lions and tigers, but would only mean domesticated animals like horses, etc. However, there are certain cases/circumstances on which this rule cannot be applied) in the interpretation of statutes. The general principle of 'ejusdem generis' applies only where the specific words are all of the same nature. When they are of different categories, then the meaning of general words following these specific words remain unaffected. These general words would not take colour from the earlier specific words. Again if the particular words used exhaust the whole genus (category), then the \{2 M} general words are to be construed as covering a larger genus. Further, the Courts have a discretion whether to apply the 'ejusdem generis' doctrine in a particular case or not. For instance, the 'just and equitable' clause in the winding up, powers of the Court is held to be not restricted by the first five situations in which the Court may wind up a company.

(d) Under section 62 (1) (c) of the Companies Act, 2013 where at any time, a company having a share capital proposes to increase its subscribed capital by the issue of further shares, either for cash or for a consideration other than cash, such shares may be offered to any persons, if it is authorised by a special resolution and if the price of such shares is determined by a valuation report of a registered valuer, subject to the compliance with the applicable provisions of Chapter III and any other conditions as may be prescribed.

In the present case, Mars India Ltd is empowered to allot the shares to Sunil in settlement of its debt to him. The issue will be classified as issue for consideration other than cash must be approved by the members by a special resolution.

Further, the valuation of the shares must be done by a registered valuer, subject to the compliance with the applicable provisions of Chapter III and any other conditions as may be prescribed.

Answer 3:

(a) (i) National Financial Reporting Authority (NFRA)

According to section 132 of the Companies Act, 2013, the Central Government may, by notification, constitute the National Financial Reporting Authority (NFRA) to provide for matters relating to accounting and auditing standards under this Act.

Notwithstanding anything contained in any other law for the time being in force, the NFRA shall—

(a) make recommendations to the Central Government on the formulation and laying down of accounting and auditing policies and standards for adoption by companies or class of companies or their auditors, as the case may be;

(b) monitor and enforce the compliance with accounting standards and auditing standards in such manner as may be prescribed;

- (c) oversee the quality of service of the professions associated with ensuring compliance with such standards, and suggest measures required for improvement in quality of service and such other related matters as may be prescribed; and
- (d) perform such other functions relating to clauses (a), (b) and (c) as may be prescribed.

(ii) Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR)Committee:

According to section 135(1) of the Companies Act, 2013, every company having

- (1) net worth of rupees 500 crore or more, or
- (2) turnover of rupees 1000 crore or more or
- (3) a net profit of rupees 5 crore or more

during the immediately preceding financial year shall constitute a Corporate Social Responsibility Committee of the Board consisting of three or more directors, out of which at least one director shall be an independent director. Provided that where a company is not required to appoint an independent director under sub-section (4) of section 149, it shall have in its Corporate Social Responsibility Committee two or more directors.

Duties of CSR Committee [Section 135(3)]:

The CSR Committee shall-

(a) formulate and recommend to the Board, a CSR Policy which shall indicate the activities to be undertaken by the company in areas or subject, specified in Schedule VII;

{3 M}

{3 M}

- (b) recommend the amount of expenditure to be incurred on the activities referred to in clause (a); and
- (c) monitor the CSR Policy of the company from time to time.

(b) As per the provisions of Section 133 of the Indian Contract Act, 1872, if the creditor makes any variance (i.e. change in terms) without the consent of the surety, then surety is discharged as to the transactions subsequent to the change. In the instant case, Mr. Pawan is liable as a surety for the loss suffered by ABC Constructions company due to misappropriation of cash by Mr. Chetan during the first six months but not for misappropriations committed after the reduction in salary. Hence, Mr. Pawan, will be liable as a surety for the act of Mr. Chetan before the change in the terms of the contract i.e., during the first six months. Variation in the terms of the contract (as to the reduction of salary) without consent of Mr. Pawan, will discharge Mr. Pawan from all the liabilities towards the act of the Mr. Chetan after such variation.

Answer:

(c) [M shall have to bear the loss since he failed to return the umbrella within the stipulated time] [2 M] [and Section 161 clearly says that where a bailee fails to return the goods within the agreed time, he shall be responsible to the bailor for any loss, destruction or deterioration of the goods from that time notwithstanding the exercise of reasonable care on his part.] [3 M]

Answer 4:

- Funds utilized for purchase of its own securities: Section 68 of the Companies Act, 2013 states that a company may purchase its own securities out of:
 - (i) its free reserves; or
 - (ii) the securities premium account; or

(iii) the proceeds of the issue of any shares or other specified securities. However, buy-back of any kind of shares or other specified securities cannot be made out of the proceeds of an earlier issue of the same kind of shares or same kind of other specified securities.

Prohibition for buy-back in certain circumstances [Section 70]

- (1) The provision says that no company shall directly or indirectly purchase its own shares or other specified securities-
 - (a) through any subsidiary company including its own subsidiary companies; or
 - (b) through any investment company or group of investment companies; or
 - (c) if a default is made by the company in repayment of deposits or interest payment thereon, redemption of debentures or preference shares or payment of dividend to any shareholder or repayment of any term loan or interest payable thereon, to any financial institutions or banking company;

But where the default is remedied and a period of three years has lapsed after such default ceased to subsist, then such buy-back is not prohibited.

(2) No company shall directly or indirectly purchase its own shares or other specified securities in case such company has not complied with provisions of Sections 92 (Annual Report), 123 (Declaration of dividend), 127 (Punishment for failure to distribute dividends), and section 129 (Financial Statements).

{2 M}

{2 M}

(b) According to section 44 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881, when consideration for which a person signed a promissory note, bill of exchange or cheque ·{2 M} consisted of money, and was originally absent in part or has subsequently failed in part, the sum which a holder standing in immediate relation with such signer is entitled to receive from him is proportionally reduced.

Explanation—The drawer of a bill of exchange stands in immediate relation with the acceptor. The maker of a promissory note, bill of exchange or cheque stands in immediate relation with the payee, and the indorser with his indorsee. Other signers may by agreement stand in immediate relation with a holder.

In the given question, Singh is a party in immediate relation with the drawer (Ram) of the cheque and so he is entitled to recover only the exact amount due from Ram and not the amount entered in the cheque. However, the right of Chandra, who is a $\{2 \text{ M}\}$ holder for value, is not adversely affected and he can claim the full amount of the cheque from Singh.

{1 M}

Answer:

Minor being a party to negotiable instrument: Every person competent to contract has (c) capacity to incur liability by making, drawing, accepting, endorsing, delivering and negotiating a promissory note, bill of exchange or cheque (Section 26, para 1, Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881).

{2 M}

As a minor's agreement is void, he cannot bind himself by becoming a party to a negotiable instrument. But he may draw, endorse, deliver and negotiate such instruments so as to bind all parties except himself (Section 26, para 2).

[In view of the provisions of Section 26 explained above, the promissory note executed by X and M is valid even though a minor is a party to it.] [1 M] [M, being a minor is not liable; but his immunity from liability does not absolve the other joint promisor, namely X from liability.][1 M]

Answer:

"Affidavit" [Section 3(3) of the General Clauses Act, 1897]: 'Affidavit' shall (d) (i) include affirmation and declaration in the case of persons by law allowed to affirm or declare instead of swearing.

The above definition is inclusive in nature. It states that Affidavit shall include \\(1^{1/2} M \) affirmation and declarations. This definition does not define affidavit. However, we can understand this term in general parlance. Affidavit is a written statement confirmed by oath or affirmation for use as evidence in Court or before any authority.

(ii) "Good Faith" [Section 3(22) of the General Clauses Act, 1897]: A thing shall be deemed to be done in "good faith" where it is in fact done honestly, whether it is done negligently or not.

The question of good faith under the General Clauses Act is one of fact. It is to be determined with reference to the circumstances of each case. Thus, anything done with due care and attention, which is not malafide, whether it is done negligently or not is presumed to have been done in good faith.

 $\{1^{1/2} M\}$

Answer 5:

As per section 3 of the Companies Act, 2013, the memorandum of One Person (a) Company (OPC) shall indicate the name of the other person (nominee), who shall, in the event of the subscriber's death or his incapacity to contract, become the member of the company.

{2 M}

The other person (nominee) whose name is given in the memorandum shall give his prior written consent in prescribed form and the same shall be filed with Registrar of companies at the time of incorporation along with its Memorandum of Association and Articles of Association.

Such other person (nominee) may withdraw his consent in such manner as may be prescribed.

Therefore, in terms of the above law, Mr. King, the nominee, whose name was given in the memorandum, can withdraw his consent as a nominee of the OPC by giving a notice in writing to the sole member and to the One Person Company.

Following are the answers to the second part of the question as regards the eligibility for being nominated as nominee:

- (i) As per the Rule 3 of the Companies (Incorporation) Rules, 2014, no minor shall become member or nominee of the OPC. Therefore, Mr. Shyam, being a minor is not eligible for being nominated as Nominee of the OPC.
- (ii) As per the Rule 3 of the Companies (Incorporation) Rules, 2014, only a natural person who is an Indian citizen and resident in India, shall be a nominee or the sole member of a One Person Company. The term "Resident in India" means a person who has stayed in India for a period of not less than 120 days during the immediately preceding financial year. Here Ms. Devaki though an Indian Citizen and also resident in India as she stayed for a period of more than 120 days during the immediately preceding financial year in India. So, she is eligible for being nominated as nominee of the OPC.
- (iii) As per the Rule 3 of the Companies (Incorporation) Rules, 2014, a person shall not be a member of more than one OPC at any point of time and the said person shall not be a nominee of more than one OPC. Mr. Ashok, an Indian Citizen residing in India who is a member of an OPC (Not a nominee in any OPC), can be nominated as nominee.

Answer:

- (b) Grammatical Interpretation and its exceptions: `Grammatical interpretation' concerns itself exclusively with the verbal expression of the law, it does not go beyond the letter of the law. In all ordinary cases, `grammatical interpretation' is the sole form allowable. The Court cannot take from or add to modify the letter of the law.

 This rule, however, is subject to some exceptions:
 - (1) Where the letter of the law is logically defective on account of ambiguity, inconsistency or incompleteness. As regard the defect to ambiguity, the Court is under a duty to travel beyond the letter of the law so as to determine from the other sources the true intention of the legislature. In the case of the statutory expression being defective on account of inconsistency, the court must ascertain the spirit of the law.
 - (2) If the text leads to a result which is so unreasonable that it is self-evident that the legislature could not mean what it says, the court may resolve such impasse by inferring logically the intention of the legislature.

Answer:

(c) The term charge has been defined in section 2 (16) of the Companies Act, 2013 as 'an interest or lien created on the property or assets of a company or any of its undertakings or both as security and includes a mortgage'.

Punishment for contravention – According to section 86 of the Companies Act, 2013, if a company makes any default with respect to the registration of charges covered under Chapter VI, a penalty shall be levied, ranging from Rs. 1 lakh to Rs. 10 lakhs. Every defaulting officer is punishable with imprisonment maximum up to six months or with minimum of Rs. twenty-five thousand and maximum of Rs. one lakh, or with both. Further, if any person willfully furnishes any false or incorrect information or knowingly suppresses any material information which is required to be registered under section 77, he shall be liable for action under section 447 (punishment for fraud).

- (d) As per Rule 3 of the Companies (Incorporation) Rules, 2014, One Person Company (OPC) cannot convert voluntarily into any kind of company unless two years have expired from the date of incorporation, except where the paid up share capital is increased beyond fifty lakh rupees or its average annual turnover during the relevant period exceeds two crore rupees.
 - According to the above provisions, following are the answers to the given circumstances:
 - (i) Where, if the promoters increases the paid up capital of the company by Rs. 10.00 lakh during 2017-2018 i.e., to Rs. 55 lakh (45+10= 55), 'New' (OPC) may convert itself voluntarily into any other kind of company due to increase in the paid up share capital exceeding 50 lakh rupees. This could be done by the 'New' by alteration of memorandum and articles of the company in compliance with the Provisions of the Act.
 - (ii) Where if the turnover of the 'New' during 2017-18 was Rs. 3.00 crore, there will be no change in the answer, as it meets up the requirement of minimum turnover i.e., Rs. 2 crore for voluntarily conversion of 'New' (OPC) into any other kind of company.

Answer 6:

- (a) As per section 22 of the Companies Act, 2013 a company may authorise any person as its attorney to excute deeds on its behalf in any place either in or outside India. But common seal should be affixed on his authority letter or the authority letter should be signed by two directors of the company or it should be signed by one director and secretary. This authority may be either general for any deeds or it may be for any specific deed.

 A deed signed by such an attorney on behalf of the company and under his seal shall \{1 M}
 - In the present case company has not neither given any written authority not affixed common seal of the authority letter. It means that Mr. Parag is not legally entitled to execute deeds on behalf of the company. Therefore, deeds executed by him are not

Answer:

(b) According to Section 96 of the Companies Act, 2013, every company shall be required to hold its first annual general meeting within a period of 9 months from the date of closing of its first financial year.

binding on the company. Therefore, company can deny its liability as a partner.

The first financial year of Infotech Ltd is for the period 1st April 2016 to 31st March 2017, the first annual general meeting (AGM) of the company should be held on or before 31st December, 2017.

The section further provides that the Registrar may, for any special reason, extend the time within which any annual general meeting, other than the first annual general meeting, shall be held, by a period not exceeding three months.

Thus, the first AGM of infotech should have been held on or before 31st December, 2017. Further, the Registrar does not have the power to grant extension to time.

Answer:

- (c) As per the section 2(45) of the Companies Act, 2013, the holding of 25% shares of AMC Ltd. by the Government of Rajasthan does not make it a government company. {1 M} Hence, it will be treated as a non-government company.
 - Under section 139 of the Companies Act, 2013, the appointment of an auditor by a company vests generally with the members of the company except in the case of the first auditors and in the filling up of the casual vacancy not caused by the resignation of the auditor, in which case, the power to appoint the auditor vests with the Board of

{1^{1/2} M}

{1^{1/2} M}

{3 M}

Directors. The appointment by the members is by way of an ordinary resolution only and no exceptions have been made in the Act whereby a special resolution is required for the appointment of the auditors.

Therefore, the contention of Mr. Sanjay is not tenable. The appointment is valid under the Companies Act, 2013.

Answer:

(d) According to Section 8(1) of the Companies Act, 2013, the companies having licence under Section 8 of the Act (Formation of companies with Charitable Objects, etc.) are prohibited from paying any dividend to its members. Their profits are intended to be applied only in promoting the objects for which they are formed.

Hence, in the instant case, the proposed act of Alpha Herbals, a company having licence under Section 8 of the Companies Act, 2013, which is planning to declare dividend, is not according to the provisions of the Act of 2013.

**